

**CPG Complaints for Q1 2017**

<i>Energy type</i>	<i>Project town</i>	<i>Other complaints in past 6 months?</i>	<i>Type of complaint</i>	<i>Investigated?</i>	<i>Potential violation?</i>	<i>Resolved by CAPI?</i>	<i>Referred to PSB?</i>	<i>Referred to ANR?</i>
Solar	Ludlow	No	Aesthetics	No*	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Solar**	Westminster	No	Aesthetics/ inaccurate info on application/ natural resources	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes#
Wind	Georgia	Yes	Ice on blades	Yes	Yes	No	Yes###	No
Wind	Vergennes	Yes	Noise	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No
Meteorologic tower	Derby Line	No	Inadequate application^	No	No	No	No	No

\* CPG never issued by the Board; application was denied

\*\* CPG amendment (second phase), still under review by the Board

# ANR provided comments to the Board about the project

## Complainant filed with the Board directly without referral

^ Complainant's objection to the project receiving a CPG because of an improper easement were addressed by the Board in its order approving it. Complainant filed a Motion for Reconsideration