

Residential Building Energy Labeling Working Group
Meeting Notes
9/10/20

Attendees: Leslie Badger (EVT); Kelly Launder (PSD); Chris Gordon (EVT); Richard Faesy (EFG); Keith Levenson (PSD); Tom Lyle (BED); Mike Russom (BED); Craig Peltier (VHCB); Karen Horne (VGS); and Chris West (Home Builders Assn.)

- **Review/approve meeting minutes**

- Chris G. moved to approve the meeting minutes from August, Leslie B. seconded. Approved by voice vote. Craig P. and Chris W. abstained as they weren't present at the August meeting.

- **Review subcommittee write-ups** (Subcommittee leads)

Assessor Subcommittee:

- Kelly L: Question regarding Assumption that any HEP used for compliance with a mandatory program will need to be professionally verified. I don't know that we want to say that instead of leaving it up to the municipality.
 - Richard F: This isn't what Montpelier is doing
 - Chris G: Maybe should be that they consider it.
- Leslie B.: Question regarding it being verified by a Qualified Assessor to be labeled as being professionally verified. Should be that its generated. Is "Qualified Assessor" the right name to use?
 - For professionally verified, should change Real Estate Agent to National Assn. of Realtors Green certification
 - Richard F.: Are there other BPI classifications that should be added?
 - Richard F.: Also architects may not have specific building science background.
- Should Assessor name be listed? If the Profile is generated by a qualified professional, then the name of the business should be listed on the Profile. Or, if a WAP completes and they don't want to be listed, company name can be left blank.
- Take out Technical Resource call center as its covered in another section
- Allow for other arrangements for QA. Clarity that any jurisdiction that requires labels would call out specific arrangements.

Impact Subcommittee:

- In Impact on VT sections need more specific impact on Vermont. Will national findings apply to VT?
 - Include Amy McLellan's information and reference in here.
- Add caveats "could" for VT if nationally-based information.
- Richard F: will circulate recommendations to Impact subcommittee to confirm approval as they haven't reviewed.
- In recommendations section clarify who should promote. Homeownership centers, municipalities with ordinances, other?
- Need to include GIS map display in budget to develop and on-going support.

Scores and Reporting Subcommittee:

- Leslie B: Really the only change is that all EEU's will collaborate to administer and will support current HELIX costs.

Next Steps:

Kelly will compile a draft report based on the information provided in the subcommittee write-ups. May also have an overall recommendations section. Next meeting focus on structure of report (too much information/should anything be put into an appendix, any missing information), recommendations (including budget).